

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

914 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14140 OF 2012
IN FAST/13123/2012 WITH CA/14141/2012 IN
FAST/13123/2012

THE STATE OF MAH THR COLLECTOR AND ANR
VERSUS

LIMBAN SAKHARAM SONKAMBLE AND ANR

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. AM Phule, AGP

...

CORAM : P.R. BORA, J.

Dated: September 27, 2016

...

PER COURT :-

1) Heard learned AGP for appellant/State. Respondents though are duly served, have not entered appearance in the matter.

2) Delay of 446 days has occurred in filing the present appeal. This Court, vide order passed on 24th November, 2014, has directed the appellant/State to explain the causes for delay during the period from 16.11.2010 to 5.11.2011. Accordingly, an additional affidavit has been filed sworn by Dr. Pratap Kale, the Sub Divisional Officer and Land Acquisition Officer, Latur.

3) On perusal of the contents of the

BDV

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

application and the affidavit in reply, I am convinced that the delay has been sufficiently explained. The delay has occurred because of the procedural compliances and needs to be condoned. The application is allowed. The delay caused in filing the appeal is condoned. The appeal be registered in accordance with law. The CA for condonation of delay stands disposed of.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

...