

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO. 4917 OF 2015

JAYSHRI SWAYAM SAHAYATA MAHILA BACHAT GAT THROUGH ITS PRESIDENT
DEVKABAI SITARAM
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

with

WRIT PETITION NO. 4918 OF 2015

NARISHAKTI SWAYAM SAHAYATA MAHILA BACHAT GAT THROUGH ITS PRESIDENT,
KOMAL JAGDISH
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Umesh B Shriram
AGP for Respondents: Mrs. S.S. Raut.

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA
& K.K. SONAWANE, JJ.
DATE :19TH JULY , 2016.**

PER COURT:

Learned counsel for the petitioners on instructions states that the petitioners have installed the Extrusion Technology Plant, as was directed by the impugned communication.

2] Considering the above, now the grievance of the petitioners on the basis upon which the said communication was challenged, does not survive. In case, there is any other deficiency it will be the responsibility of the petitioners, to cure the said deficiency.

3] The respondent authorities can conduct inspection of the petitioners unit. Parties are free to take steps thereafter, as may be permissible in law.

4] Writ petitions are accordingly disposed of. No costs.

[K.K. SONAWANE]
JUDGE

[S.V. GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE.

grt/-