

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2251/2016

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.268/2016

Kailas @ Kalyan Badrinath Pawar.

...Applicant..

Versus

The State of Maharashtra.

...Respondent...

.....

Shri N.S. Ghanekar, Advocate for applicant.

Shri S.P. Deshmukh, APP for respondent.

.....

**CORAM: R.M. BORDE &
P.R. BORA, JJ.**

DATE: 26.04.2016

ORDER :

1] Heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the State.

2] Perused the judgment and order passed by the trial Court. Considering the material evidence as discussed by the trial Court in judgment, prima facie, according to us, no case is made out for enlargement of the applicant on bail. Apart from this, the applicant was not granted liberty during the continuance of the trial. In this

- 2 -

view of the matter and the for the aforesaid reasons, the criminal application seeking enlargement of the applicant on bail does not deserve favourable consideration. Hence, the application is rejected. However, the office is directed to ensure preparation of the paper book within six weeks from today and immediately after receipt of the paper book, the Criminal Appeal No.268/2016 be listed for final hearing.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

(R.M. BORDE, J.)