

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2150 OF 2016
(Shaikh Hasnoddin Shaikh Kamaloddin Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and another)

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2085 OF 2016
(Shaikh Hasnoddin Shaikh Kamaloddin Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and another)

AND

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 6915 OF 2015
(Shaikh Sirajoddin Shaikh Kamaloddin and others Vs. The
State of Maharashtra and another)

None for the applicants in all the applications
Mr. D.R. Kale, A.P.P. for the respondent/State
Mr. Deelip Patil-Bankar, Advocate for respondent No. 2
in Cri. Application No. 2150/2016
Mr. A.D. Kasliwal, Advocate for respondent No. 2 in
Cri. Application No. 2085/2016

CORAM : S.S. SHINDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 14th September, 2016

PER COURT :

When the application (Cri. Application No. 2150/2016) is called out for hearing, none appeared for the applicant. Respondent No. 2 has filed the affidavit-in-reply. It is stated in paragraph No. 3 of the reply that in Crime No. 98/2016, which is sought to be quashed by this application, the present applicant is not added as an accused at present. In that view of the matter,

there is no reason for the applicant to file this application. In the circumstances, this application stands rejected being premature.

2. As regards other two applications (Cri. Application No. 2085/2016 and 6915/2016), none appeared for the applicants when these matters are called out. The interim relief granted in these applications stands vacated. Since the order granting interim relief in these two applications is vacated, there is no impediment to proceed with the further investigation for filing the chargesheet.

3. List the applications (Cri. Application No. 2085/2016 and 6915/2016) on 18th October, 2016.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL]
JUDGE

[S.S. SHINDE]
JUDGE

npj/criapln2150-2016+