

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2133 OF 2016 IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.279 OF 2016

Murlidhar @ Murlya s/o
Gangaram Pawara

... APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra

... RESPONDENT

.....
Shri P.B. Patil, Advocate for applicant
Shri R.V. Dhasalkar, A.P.P. for respondent
.....

CORAM: A.I.S. CHEEMA, J.

DATED: 27th June, 2016.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned A.P.P. for the State. The learned counsel for the applicant agrees that the applicant - original accused was in jail since the time of his arrest on 19.7.2014, during the course of the trial. There is no dispute that now the applicant is a convicted accused for

offence under Section 304 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. Without going into the merits, the learned counsel for the applicant states that, if the appeal can be decided early, at present the applicant will not press for releasing on bail. The learned A.P.P. does not object to expediting the appeal.

3. The application is thus disposed with liberty to again request for bail, in case the appeal is not disposed in reasonable time.

4. The appeal is expedited.

5. Paper Book be got prepared urgently.

6. The appeal be listed for hearing finally on 25th July 2016. Registry to inform the trial Court to ensure that the Paper Book is got prepared before the date fixed. Record and proceedings be sent back immediately to the trial Court.

(A.I.S. CHEEMA, J.)