

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2079 OF 2015

KISAN S/O SARJERAO GAVALI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

.....
Advocate for the applicant: Mr. Nitin S. Kadarale
APP for the respondent: Mr. G.O. Wattamwar
Advocate for respondent No.2: Mr. R.G. Joshi
.....

CORAM : V. K. JADHAV, J.
DATED : 1st FEBRUARY, 2016

PER COURT:-

1. Heard. This matter is moved for speaking to minutes, as in second line of para 3 of order dated 22.1.2016, passed in this criminal application, inadvertently instead of mentioning "accused No.1" it is mentioned as "accused No.2". So also, in operative part of the said order, instead of mentioning "criminal application" it is mentioned as "criminal revision application". The said typographical mistake is required to be corrected.

2. In view of above, in second line of para 3 of order dated 22.1.2016 the words, "accused No.2" be read as "accused No.1" and in operative part of the order at both places, the words "criminal revision application" be read as "criminal application". The order dated 22.1.2016 stands corrected accordingly. Motion stands disposed of.

(V. K. JADHAV, J.)