

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6213 OF 2016 IN
FIRST APPEAL NO.226 OF 2016

Madhukar s/o Dnyanoba Dandime

Applicant

Versus

Maharashtra State Industrial Development
Corporation, Latur & others

Respondents

Mr.G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), advocate for the applicant (intervener).
Mr.S.S.Dande, advocate for Respondent No.1.
Mr.P.S.Patil, AGP for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : R.M.BORDE &
K.L.WADANE, JJ.
DATE : 12th August, 2016**

PER COURT:

1 It is contended that in a suit for partition, decree has been passed in favour of the intervener and he has been held entitled to receive share in the amount of compensation.

2 It would be open for the intervener to execute the decree and claim the amount, which can be allotted to his share. Intervention in the appeal is not contemplated in law.

3 Keeping an option open to the intervenor to claim his share in observance of the procedure prescribed under law, Civil Application is disposed of.

**K.L.WADANE
JUDGE**

adb/ca621316

**R.M.BORDE
JUDGE**