

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

930 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 493 OF 2016

NIVRUTTI S/O. BABARAO TODGILE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Anand V. Indrale Patil
APP for Respondents: Mr. P. G. Borade
Advocate for Respondent 4 & 5: Mr. V. B. Dhage

. . .

CORAM : S.S. SHINDE &
K.K. SONAWANE, JJ.

DATE : 25TH NOVEMBER, 2016.

PER COURT:

1] Heard learned counsel for the for the petitioner, learned APP for the State and counsel for respondents Nos. 4 and 5.

2] It appears that subsequent to the filing of this petition, the vehicle is released. So far as prayer clause (C) in the petition, which is for compensation is concerned, the petitioner can avail appropriate remedy, as available in law, for redressal of said grievance. We make it clear that in case the petitioner approaches the court of competent jurisdiction, the concerned court shall take into consideration the time spent in prosecuting the present petition, while considering the prayer for condonation of delay.

3] With the above observations, writ petition stands disposed of.

[K.K.SONAWANE]
JUDGE

[S.S. SHINDE]
JUDGE

grt/-

{2}

930 sr. no..odt