

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.3688 OF 2012

GOVIND SHAMRAO SONAWANE (KOLI) AND OTHERS.
-VERSUS-
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS.

...

Shri A.I.Deshmukh, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Shri V.S.Badakh, AGP, for Respondent Nos.1 and 2.
Shri B.S.Deshmukh, Advocate for Respondent No.3.
Shri P.S.Patil, Advocate for Respondent No.5.

...

CORAM: RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATE :- 08th January, 2016

Per Court:

1 The learned Advocate for the Petitioners submits that as the tenure of the elected post is over, this matter in fact is rendered infructuous. However, the Petitioners are apprehensive about any recovery proceeding.

2 The learned Advocates for the Respondents submit that the issue of recovery is not involved in this matter as the issue raised was with regard to the disqualification of the elected member.

3 The learned Advocate for the Petitioners, therefore, submits

that as their apprehension is put to rest in the light of the above statement, this petition can be disposed of as infructuous.

4 In the light of the above, this petition is disposed of as being infructuous.

kps

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)