

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1854 OF 2015

The State of Maharashtra

...APPLICANT

VERSUS

- 1) Vikas Haridas Dhotare,
- 2) Jayashri Haridas Dhotare,
- 3) Kailas Haridas Dhotare.

...RESPONDENTS

...

Mr.M.M. Nerlikar, A.P.P. for Applicant.
Mr.Rajendra Deshmukh Advocate for Respondent
Nos.1 to 4.

...

**CORAM: S.S. SHINDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 19TH OCTOBER, 2016

ORDER :

. Heard learned A.P.P. appearing for the
State and learned counsel appearing for
Respondents.

2. We have perused the findings recorded by

the trial Court. Prima facie, it appears that findings recorded by the trial Court are not in consonance with the evidence brought on record by the prosecution. Hence the case is established for admission of the Appeal, allowing the Application seeking leave to Appeal.

3. The Criminal Application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (A), (B), (C), (D) and (F). Application stands disposed of accordingly.

4. Appeal **Admit**. On admission Mr. Deshmukh, learned counsel waives service of notice for all the Respondents.

5. Respondent Nos.1 to 3 should furnish Personal Bond of Rs.10,000/- each with one surety in the like amount each, before the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.]

[S.S. SHINDE, J.]