

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1657 OF 2015

Pradip Pralhadrao More

...APPLICANT

VERSUS

1) The State of Maharashtra,

2) Danesh Liyakat Ali Sayyad

...RESPONDENTS

...

Mr.Shivraj V. Deshmukh Advocate for Applicant.

Mr.P.G. Borade, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1.

...

**CORAM: S.S. SHINDE AND
P.R. BORA, JJ.**

DATE : 21ST DECEMBER 2016

ORDER :

1. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the Applicant and learned A.P.P. appearing for the State. We have carefully perused the allegations in the F.I.R. and also the statements of the witnesses recorded during the course of

investigation. We do not think that this is a case for quashing the F.I.R./Charge sheet. The Application stands rejected.

2. At this stage, the learned counsel appearing for the Applicant submits that the Applicant will file the application for discharge within four weeks from today. In case such application is filed within four weeks from today, the concerned Court to decide such application, on its own merits, as expeditiously as possible, however within eight weeks from filing such application.

[P.R. BORA, J.]

asb/DEC16

[S.S. SHINDE, J.]