

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 2058 OF 2015
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10971 OF 2015**

Sandip Institute of Pharmaceutical
Sciences, Nashik .. Petitioner

Versus

All India Council for Technical
Education, New Delhi and others .. Respondents

Mrs. Vaishali N. Patil (Jadhav), Advocate for the Petitioner.
Ms. S. S. Raut, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 2.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2075 OF 2015**

Jawaharlal Nehru Engineering College
Aurangabad .. Petitioner

Versus

All India Council for Technical
Education, New Delhi and others .. Respondents

Shri Vikram S. Kadam, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Ms. S. S. Raut, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2751 OF 2015
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3209 OF 2015**

Aldel Education Trusts St. John Institute
of Pharmacy and Research, Palghar .. Petitioner

Versus

All India Council for Technical
Education, New Delhi and others .. Respondents

Shri S. R. Dheple, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Ms. S. S. Raut, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2752 OF 2015
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3210 OF 2015

Aldel Education Trusts St. John Institute
of Pharmacy and Research, Palghar .. Petitioner

Versus

All India Council for Technical
Education, New Delhi and others .. Respondents

Shri S. R. Dheple, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Ms. S. S. Raut, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3579 OF 2015

Khalapur Taluka Shikshan Prasarak
Mandal's B. L. Patil Polytechnic .. Petitioner

Versus

All India Council for Technical
Education, New Delhi .. Respondent

Shri S. K. Kulkarni, Advocate for the Petitioner.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3580 OF 2015

Jumma Masjid Charitable Trust's
J. M. C. T. Polytechnic, Nashik .. Petitioner

Versus

All India Council for Technical
Education, New Delhi .. Respondent

Shri Vivek Salunke, Advocate for Petitioners.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3581 OF 2015**

Vidya Vikas Prathishthan and anr.	..	Petitioners
Versus		
All India Council for Technical Education, New Delhi	..	Respondent

Shri Nitin Dhumal, Advocate for Petitioners.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3582 OF 2015**

Parshvanath Charitable Trust and anr.	..	Petitioners
Versus		
All India Council for Technical Education, New Delhi	..	Respondent

Shri Pradeep Deshmukh, Advocate h/f Shri Yashodeep Deshmukh,
Advocate for Petitioners.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
K. L. WADANE, JJ.
DATE : 13TH DECEMBER, 2016.**

PER COURT :

. All these matters were listed on 20th November, 2016. The same were adjourned at the request of Mr. Dhepale and Mrs. Jadhav, the learned counsel, who were appearing on the said date. The other advocates for respective petitioners were absent.

2. On the last date Mr. Advant, the learned counsel for the respondent/A.I.C.T.E. made a statement that, the respondent/A.I.C.T.E. is ready to refund the amount deposited by

the petitioners along with the applications. The association which has filed the petitions has already withdrawn their writ petitions on the ground that same have become infructuous.

3. The reliefs claimed in present writ petitions are also with regard seeking directions against the respondent/A.I.C.T.E. not to implement the condition of NBA accreditation in approval process for the academic year 2015-2016.

4. The said academic year has already come to an end. Mrs. Jadhav, the learned counsel appearing in Writ Petition No. 2058 of 2015 states that, she is instructed to withdraw the writ petition.

5. Considering the fact that, all the writ petitions have become infructuous as the academic year for which the petitioners were seeking relief has already come to an end long back, the writ petitions stand disposed of. No costs.

6. The respondent/A.I.C.T.E. shall refund the amount deposited by petitioners along with applications expeditiously.

[K. L. WADANE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]