

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1608 OF 2013**

The State of Maharashtra

..APPLICANT

VERSUS

Jeevan Zatingrao Dabhade

..RESPONDENT

Mr R.V. Dasalkar, Addl. Public Prosecutor for applicant;  
Mr S.B. Madde, Advocate for respondent

**CORAM : N.W. SAMBRE, J.**

**DATE : 27th September, 2016**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard respective Counsel.

2. Respondent-accused was acquitted in Special Case (ACB) No.7/2005 (Old No.1 of 2004), on the ground that there was discrepancy in the evidence of P.Ws. 1, 2 and the complainant.

3. Upon hearing respective Counsel, in my opinion, case for grant of leave is made out. Hence, leave granted.

4. **Admit.**

5. Mr Madde, learned Counsel waives service of notice on behalf of respondent.

6. Action under section 390 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

**(N.W. SAMBRE, J.)**

amj