

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 384 OF 2009

MADAN KEDARNATH MUNDADE
VERSUS
BHIKAN PITAMBAR MALI

AND

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 386 OF 2009

MADAN KEDARNATH MUNDADA
VERSUS
BHIKAN PITAMBAR MALI

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. V. B. Patil.

Advocate for Respondent : Mr. Prakashsing B. Patil.

...

CORAM : **V. K. JADHAV, J.**

DATE : 20th December, 2016.

P.C.:

. In view of the ratio laid down by the Supreme Court in **Dashrath Rupsingh Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra and another**, reported in, **(2014) 9 SCC 129** and thereafter in view of the insertion in Section 142-A of the Negotiable Instruments Act, the cause for filing the present criminal writ petitions has been rendered infructuous. Both the criminal writ petitions are disposed of as infructuous. Rule discharged.

[V. K. JADHAV, J.]