

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9206 OF 2015
IN
SECOND APPEAL [STAMP] NO. 9186 OF 2015

Sheshrao s/o Tukaram Unde	.. Applicant
versus	
Manik s/o Tukaram Unde	.. Respondent

Mr. Devdatt. P. Palodkar, Advocate for applicant
Mr. Swapnil S. Patunkar, Advocate i/b J.P. Legal Associates
for respondent

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.
15TH JANUARY, 2016

ORDER:

1. Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.
2. Mr. Palodkar, learned counsel appearing for applicant refers to the reasons given under paragraph no. 3 of the application and submits that it is on that account that that delay has been caused, whereas Mr. Swapnil Patunkar learned counsel appearing for respondent submits that the delay is enormous and cannot be said to have been properly explained. However, he has not been in a position to counter veracity of contents of paragraph no. 3 of the civil application.

3. In the circumstances, I deem it appropriate that civil application deserves favourable consideration.

4. In view of the same, delay stands condoned. Civil application is allowed and disposed of.

SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.

pnd