

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5081 OF 2016
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 12341 OF 2015**

...
MRS.NISAR FATEMA AMIRUDDIN ANSARI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Chetan T. Jadhav
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. V.S.Badakh
...

CORAM : S.S. SHINDE & P.R.BORA, JJ.
Dated: April 22, 2016
...

PER COURT:

1] Heard. Perused the grounds taken in the Review Application. According to the learned counsel appearing for the Applicant, when the order under review was passed, the petitioner was not heard.

2] We have considered the submissions of the learned counsel appearing for the review applicant. Carefully perused the order on which the review is sought, we are of the opinion that the hearing of the petitioners in the said Petition was not necessary, the order dated 14th August, 2015, which was passed by the Education Officer was consequential order to the order dated 20th May, 2014. Admittedly, the order dated 20th May, 2014, was not challenged.

3] In that view of the matter, there is no error apparent on the face of record so as to review the order under review, hence, intervention application as well as review application stands rejected.

[P.R.BORA, J.]

[S.S. SHINDE, J.]

DDC