

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3067 OF 2016

Sonal Mahila Mandal through its
President Urmila Omprakash
Gindodiya and others .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri D. S. Bagul, Advocate for Petitioners.
Shri S. P. Sonpawale, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4.
Shri S. B. Deshpande, A.S.G. for the Respondent No. 5.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3071 OF 2016**

Shree Radhey Mahila Bachat Gat
through its President Vanitabai Narsai
Patil and others .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri D. S. Bagul, Advocate for Petitioners.
Shri S. P. Sonpawale, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4.
Shri S. B. Deshpande, A.S.G. for the Respondent No. 5.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
K. K. SONAWANE, JJ.**

DATE : 26TH APRIL, 2016.

PER COURT :

. Mr. Bagul, the learned counsel submits that, after the impugned show cause notice was issued, the petitioners have complied with deficiencies and even extrusion technology plant has been installed.

2. Relying upon the statement made by petitioners, the impugned show cause notice is quashed and set aside. The respondent authorities shall make a fresh inspection in respect of plant of petitioners and are at liberty to take fresh action in case they find any deficiency. The writ petitions are accordingly disposed of. No costs.

[K. K. SONAWANE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/April 16