

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3643 OF 2016

Dhrupatabai Chingu Rathod
and others.

..PETITIONERS

-VERSUS-

The State of Maharashtra
and others.

..RESPONDENTS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. P.B. Patil
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. S.K. Kadam

CORAM : S.S. SHINDE & SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.
Dated: JUNE 27, 2016

PER COURT :

1. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner undertakes to deposit the deficit court fees within one week from today.

2. Heard.

3. Rule. Rule made returnable and heard forthwith. With the consent of the parties, the petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage.

4. The petitioners are the employees of aided private Ashram Schools. The petitioners in

this Petition are claiming their entitlement to higher pay scale under Assured Career Progress Scheme (for short "the ACPS") on completion of 12 years' of qualifying service from the date of their initial appointments.+

5. It is the contention of the petitioners that the employees serving in private aided Ashram Schools are discriminated, and have been denied benefits whereas, the benefits are made available to the Ashram Schools conducted by the Social Welfare Department, and other private aided schools conducted by other Departments.

6. The issue raised in this Petition is no more *res integra* in view of judgment of the Division Bench at Principal Seat in Writ Petition No. 2358/2013 and other companion matters (Shri Kiran Namdeo Shinde and others V/s The State of Maharashtra and others), decided on 21st September, 2013. The Division Bench in paragraph nos. 17 to 19 of the order has observed thus:-

"17. The Assured Career Progress Scheme

is a welfare scheme which is basically brought about to remove stagnation as very few promotion avenues are available to Group 'C' and 'D' employees. The ACPS enables the eligible employees to be placed in higher pay scale. The eligible non-teaching staff of the aided Secondary Schools in Group 'C' and 'D' category gets the benefit of ACPS. But the similar category of employees in the aided private Ashram Schools who perform identical duties have been denied the benefit of ACPS which infringes their fundamental rights under Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India. The action of denial of benefits to the similarly placed employees discharging similar duties is arbitrary and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India.

18. Only on the basis of purported ground of financial crunch, we fail to understand the approach of the State Government of discriminating between the non-teaching staff of aided Ashram Schools and non-teaching staff of aided private Schools. At one stage both the Schools were functioning under the control of only one department.

19. In our view the denial of benefit of ACPS amounts to discrimination, which is hit by the rights guaranteed by Articles 14 and

16 of the Constitution of India."

7. In view of the decision rendered by the Division Bench, as referred hereinabove, the petition deserves to be allowed, and the same is accordingly allowed.

8. The respondents are directed to examine the cases of each of the individual petitioner for deciding whether they satisfy the criteria laid down for claiming benefits under the ACPS to the private aided Government schools under the Government Resolution dated 30th April 1998, as modified from time to time, and if it is found that the petitioners are entitled to claim benefits under the Scheme, and they satisfy the eligibility criteria, the respondents shall extend the benefits to the petitioners. The respondents shall scrutinize the cases of the petitioners within a period of six months, and extend them the benefits as expeditiously as possible, and preferably within a period of six months from such scrutiny.

9. Rule made absolute in above terms.

10. The writ petition stands disposed of in above terms.

(SANGITRAO S. PATIL)
JUDGE

(S.S.SHINDE)
JUDGE

sga