

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 183 OF 2015
IN WP/3445/2013

SYED KHUTBUDDIN ABDUL WAHAB BORIKAR
VERSUS
MAULAJ KASIM SHAIKH VAISHALITAI DESHMUKH
SHIKSHAN SANSTHA, LATUR AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Shri Syed Masood Chand
Advocate for Respondents 1 to 3 : Shri Agrawal P.S.
Advocate for Respondent 4 : Shri Patil VC. h/f Shri Bondar U.B.

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: January 19, 2016
...

PER COURT :-

1. Shri Agrawal, learned Advocate appearing on behalf of respondents 1 to 3 has tendered his vakalatnama today. He submits that an affidavit in reply has also been filed indicating that the order of this Court, dated 7.5.2014 in Writ Petition No.3445 of 2013 has been complied with.
2. Shri Sayed, learned Advocate for the petitioner has gone through the affidavit-in-reply filed by the Education Officer as well as the reply of respondent Nos.1 to 3.
3. After hearing the learned Advocates for the respective sides and considering the statement of the petitioner that the Education Officer has correctly indicated his monthly wages, which have been paid by the respondent management w.e.f. 12.3.2013, as per the directions of this Court, dated 7.5.2014, the order of this Court is, therefore, complied with. This Contempt Petition is, therefore, disposed off.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...