

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1410 OF 2016

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.406 OF 2001

Vijay s/o Nagoji Pradhan

...APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra

...RESPONDENT

...

Mr.N.S. Ghanekar Advocate for Applicant.

Mr.R.B. Bagul, A.P.P. for Respondent.

...

CORAM: A.I.S. CHEEMA, J.

DATE : 7TH SEPTEMBER, 2016

ORDER :

1. Heard counsel for Applicant and learned A.P.P. for State. Perused record of the Appeal. As the matter was not argued at the time of hearing, warrants were required to be issued. Learned

counsel for Applicant states that there was communication gap and because of which the counsel could not attend and the Applicant could not be informed. The counsel states that he is ready with the matter so as to finally argue the same.

2. For the reasons stated in the Application, the Application is allowed, subject to payment of costs of Rs.1500/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) to be deposited in this Court. The Applicant - accused be released on his furnishing P.R.B. and S.B. in the sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) before the trial Court. While admitting the Applicant - accused to bail trial Court shall add condition that Applicant shall mark his presence in the trial Court every three months till disposal of the present Appeal. The trial Court shall send yearly report in January, about compliance regarding appearance of the accused.

3. The Criminal Application stands disposed of accordingly.

[A.I.S.CHEEMA,J.]

asb/SEP16