

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4470 OF 2004
PRAMOD VISHNUPANT DAKE & ORS
VERSUS STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Smt. Deshmukh Charuta
AGP for Respondents 1 to 5 : Shri Raut S.S.
Advocate for Respondents 9(2) to 9(9) : Shri Bhadgaonkar U.A.

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: April 28, 2016

...
PER COURT :-

1. Though the petitioners had challenged the order dated 5.11.2013 delivered by the Additional Commissioner, Nasik - respondent No.2 herein, whereby, the order passed by respondent No.3 dated 31.8.2001 was confirmed, learned Advocate for the petitioner submits, on instructions, that the direction of respondent No.2, dated 5.11.2003 and the directions of respondent No.3 dated 31.8.2001 are acceptable to the petitioners and they are willing to follow the directions of respondent No.3 set out in its order dated 31.8.2001. It is, therefore, submitted that by recording this statement, the petition can be disposed off.

2. Learned Advocate Shri Bhadgaonkar appearing for respondents 9(2) to 9(9) submits that since the petitioner is willing to abide by the directions of respondent No.3 and which have been confirmed by respondent No.2, vide the impugned orders, this petition can be disposed off.

3. None appears for the other respondents.

4. Considering the statement made on instructions, as recorded above, this petition is, therefore, disposed off. Rule discharged.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...