

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY BENCH AT
AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2254 OF 2013

Manda Devidas Patil .. Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and Others .. Respondents

Shri Yuvraj S. Choudhari, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri S. N. Morapalle , A. G. P. for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Shri S. B. Ghute, Advocate for the Respondent No. 3.
Shri A. R. Devkate, Advocate for the Respondent No. 4.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. M. BADAR, JJ.
DATE : 12TH JANUARY, 2016.**

PER COURT :-

1. The grievance of the petitioner is that, respondent No. 4 is constructing the well adjoining the public well which is used for providing drinking facility to the villagers.
2. We have heard Mr. Choudhari, the learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Devkate, Mr. Ghute and the learned A. G. P. for the respondents.
3. The affidavit is filed in April, 2013, stating that the respondent No. 4 has constructed the well and the well i. e. the existing public well is dry and there is no water in the said well.
4. Considering the above, the grievance of the petitioner cannot be entertained. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. BADAR, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]