

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT BOMBAY  
APPELLATE SIDE, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

SECOND APPEAL NO. 182 OF 2014  
WITH  
SECOND APPEAL NO. 183 OF 2014

...  
SUMANBAI W/O. SUDHAKAR KSHIRSAGAR AND ORS.  
VS.  
SHANTABAI W/O. BABURAO WAGHCHAURE

...  
Advocate for appellants : Shri. J.V. Deshpande  
Advocate for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 : S.G. Thombre

...  
**CORAM : T.V. NALAWADE, J.**  
DATED : 30th August, 2016.

**ORDER :**

1. The learned counsel for the appellants in both the appeals submitted that the appeal filed against the decision of the District Court by which the share was enhanced to make it 1/6<sup>th</sup> only ought to have been allowed and the other appeal ought to have been shown as dismissed as this Court has restored the decision of the Trial Court by which 1/6<sup>th</sup> share is given. He submitted that necessary correction is to be made in the operative order of the aforesaid appeals and Second Appeal No. 183/2014 is to be shown as allowed and Second Appeal No. 182/2014 is to be shown as dismissed.

2. Correction is to be made accordingly in view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the appellants. Motion is disposed of.

**[ T.V. NALAWADE, J. ]**

SSC/