

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 3264 OF 2003
WITH CA(ST) 35808/2015

BALIRAM MAHADEO TENGSE AND ANR
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Shri B.A.Darak
AGP for Respondents 1 & 6 : Shri S.D.Kaldate
Advocate for Respondents 2 to 5 : Shri S.G.Sangle

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: September 02, 2016
...

PER COURT :-

1. The petitioner is aggrieved by the judgment of the Industrial Court dated 30.7.2003, by which his Revision (ULP) No. 27 of 2003 was dismissed and the interim order dated 16.4.2003, passed by the Labour Court, below Exhibit U-2 has been sustained.
2. I have heard the learned Advocates for the respective sides at length.
3. By an interim order dated 28.8.2003, passed by this Court, the services of the petitioner were protected. The said protection has continued for about 13 years.
4. In the light of the above fact, I deem it proper to continue the

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 - WRIT PETITION NO. 3264 OF 2003

interim protection granted by this Court on 28.8.2003 till 31.3.2017 and direct the Labour Court Nanded to decide Complaint (ULP) No.12 of 2003, which was earlier before the Labour Court, Jalna and is now transferred to the Labour Court, Nanded.

5. Needless to state, the Labour Court, Nanded shall decide the Complaint as directed on or before 31.3.2017 and shall be at liberty to refuse adjournments to the litigating sides if the same are based on unreasonable grounds.

6. This petition is, therefore, partly allowed and Rule is made partly absolute.

7. Consequentially, Civil Application (St) No.35808 of 2015 does not survive and stands disposed off.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...