

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

17 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3475 OF 2016
IN FAST/6282/2016 WITH CA/3476/2016 IN
FAST/6282/2016

BHARAT MACHINDRA PAREKAR AND ORS
VERSUS
ANJANABAI ATMARAM THAWARE AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. SA Patil, Adv. h/for
Mr. Salunke V.D.

Mr. DA Madake, Adv. For Resp.No.1.;
Mr. SP Deshmukh, AGP for Respondents:2

...

CORAM : P.R. BORA, J.
Dated: August 29, 2016

...

PER COURT :-

1) Heard. Delay of 202 days has occurred in filing the present appeal against the judgment and order passed in LAR No. 155/2011 by Civil Judge, Senior Division, Paranda.

2) Learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No.1 has opposed for condoning the delay stating that the delay has not been sufficiently explained.

3) Respondent No.3 though has been duly served, has not entered her appearance.

4) For the reasons stated in the application,

BDV

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

which according to me are just and sufficient, the delay is condoned. The appeal be registered in accordance with law.

5) CA for condonation of delay stands disposed of.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

...