

- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.65/2016

Sangita w/o Bhima Khedkar.
...Appellant..

Versus

The State of Maharashtra.
...Respondent...

.....

Shri P.R. Nangare, Advocate for appellant.
Shri M.M. Nerlikar, APP for respondent.

.....

**CORAM: R.M. BORDE &
P.R. BORA, JJ.**

DATE: 26.04.2016

ORDER :

1] Since an appeal presented by the State Government being Criminal Appeal No.146/2016 is already admitted by this Court as against the original accused nos.1 to 4, the purpose of presenting the instant appeal is served. The Division Bench of this Court while dealing with the State appeal has refused to grant leave as against the accused nos.5, 6 and 7.

2] Since the Division Bench of this Court has already applied its mind to the case and refused to grant leave

- 2 -

as against the accused nos.5, 6 and 7, the decision cannot be interfered with while considering the appeal by the original complainant. The purpose of presenting the instant appeal is served since the State has already presented the appeal. The instant appeal as such stands disposed of.

3] It would be open for the appellant to assist the learned Public Prosecutor in Criminal Appeal No.146/2016.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

(R.M. BORDE, J.)