

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6986 OF 2013

Sheknath Keru Khajekar

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Shri Mukul Kulkarni, Advocate h/f Shri Anil S. Shivpuje,
Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri V. H. Dighe, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Shr Y. V. Kakade, Advocate for the Respondent No. 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. M. BADAR, JJ.**

DATE : 02ND MARCH, 2016.

PER COURT :

. One of the alternate prayer made is to decide application dated 31.12.2012 filed by the petitioner for incorporating his name in the relevant record.

2. We have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned counsel for the respondent No. 3 and the learned Assistant Government Pleader.

3. As limited relief is claimed, we are not entering into the merits of the arguments canvased by the learned counsel for

respective parties. The respondent No. 3 shall decide the application of the petitioner dated 31.12.2012 on its own merits, in accordance with law after hearing all parties concerned expeditiously and preferably within a period of six months from today. All contentions of respective parties are kept open. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. BADAR, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/March 16