

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

920 WRIT PETITION NO. 1089 OF 2016
WITH CA/2628/2016 IN WP/1089/2016

ASHWANI MANIKRAO RAUT
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Mohekar Ganesh V.
Mr. SB Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondents:1
Mr. Dambe Santosh S. For R/2 and 3
Mr. Bhale Vikas S For R/3 And 4

...

CORAM : R. M. BORDE & P.R. BORA, JJ.

Dated: March 08, 2016

...

PER COURT :-

1) Heard. It is informed that during pendency of the petition, a letter of appointment has been issued in favour of one Vineeta. The Scheme in respect of making appointments to the post of Anganwadi Sevika and Madatnis published on 13.8.2014 provides for alternate remedy by way of an appeal in the matter of selection for employment on the aforesaid posts. The petitioner shall have liberty to approach the Appellate forum for redressal of her grievances.

2) If the petitioner tenders an appeal before the appellate forum within a period of fifteen days from today, we direct the concerned Appellate forum to deal with the appeal considering the merits and contentions raised in the appeal in accordance with law and further direct that the appeal shall not be turned down solely on the ground that the

BDV

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

same has been presented beyond the prescribed period of limitation. In the peculiar facts of this case, the directions are issued since the letter of appointment has been issued during pendency of the instant petition.

3) With the directions as above, the petition is disposed of. Pending civil application, if any, stands disposed of.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

(R. M. BORDE, J.)

...