

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

931 LETTER PATENT APPEAL NO. 34 OF 2011
WITH CA/1857/2011 IN LPA/34/2011

DHANAI PUNAI ADHYAPAK MANDAL AND ANR
VERSUS
HILAL NAGO MAHAJAN AND ORS

...
Advocate for Appellants : Mrs. C.S. Deshmukh h/f
Mr. Badakh Vishal S.
Advocate for Respondent No.1 & 2 :Mr. P. P. Chavan

...
CORAM : R. M. BORDE & A. I. S. CHEEMA, JJ.
Date: January 22, 2016
...

PER COURT :-

1 Perused the order passed by the learned single Judge on 10.1.2011. We do not find any irregularity or illegality in the order passed by the learned single Judge. The challenge raised in the LPA is in respect of interlocutory order passed during continuance of the Suit. It would be open for the petitioner to raise his grievance in respect of interlocutory order, at an appropriate stage, in an appropriate proceedings. No interference is called for in the LPA. LPA is devoid of substance and hence stands dismissed.

2 Pending Civil Applications do not survive and stand disposed of.

(A. I. S. CHEEMA, J.)

(R. M. BORDE, J.)