

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

MISCELLANEOUS CIVIL APPLICATION NO.26 OF 2016

Shailaja Ganesh Patil
Age - 23 years, Occ - Household
R/o C/o Ajay Shivajirao Pawar,
Sai-Shraddha Housing Society,
A-4/4, Shirdi, Taluka - Rahata,
District - Ahmednagar

APPLICANT

VERSUS

Ganesh Devidas Patil (Thakare)
Age - 27 years, Occ - Service,
R/o Sector No.27-A, Plot No.19/5,
Near TSJB, Pradhikaran, Nigadi,
Pune - 411 044

RESPONDENT

.....
Mr. Vijay B. Patil, Advocate for the applicant
.....

[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

DATE : 26th AUGUST, 2016

ORAL JUDGMENT :

1. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith and heard finally with consent of learned advocate for the applicant.
2. In spite of service of notice, the respondent has chosen to abstain from appearing in the matter. In the circumstances, the position goes unchallenged that some proceedings have been initiated by present applicant in the court at Rahata and further

that the marriage, which has been solemnized in 2015, appears to have taken untoward turn immediately and the applicant is since then been residing at Shirdi at her paternal home. The respondent appears to have filed proceedings, purportedly for restitution of conjugal rights at Pune.

3. In view of aforesaid, since proceedings filed by the applicant are pending at Rahata and she is residing in Rahata taluka, it would be expedient that the proceedings initiated by the respondent at Pune be transferred to Kopergaon, as prayed for by the applicant in present Miscellaneous Civil Application.

4. As such, the Miscellaneous Civil Application stands allowed. Rule is made absolute in terms of prayer clause "B".

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]