

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.16 OF 2016

Dr. Vasudha Ganeshsingh Pawar,
Age 35 years, Occ. Doctor,
r/o. At post – Bhagya Nagar,
Taluka Ambad, Dist. Jalna ..Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra,
through Principal Secretary,
Mantralaya, Mumbai – 32
and five others ..Respondents

--

Mr.A.N.Sabnis, advocate for petitioner

Mr.A.B.Girase, Government Pleader for respondent
nos.1, 2, 5 and 6

Mr.V.D.Sapkal, advocate for respondent no.4

--

**CORAM : S.S. SHINDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : APRIL 15, 2016**

PER COURT :

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the
petitioner; the learned Government Pleader
appearing for respondent nos.1, 2, 5 and 6 –
State; and the learned counsel appearing for
respondent no.4.

2] The learned counsel appearing for respondent no.4 has tendered across the Bar a copy of the letter addressed to him by respondent no.4 - Trust. The same is taken on record.

3] In view of the order dated 1st April, 2016 passed at the Principal Seat of the Bombay High Court in Public Interest Litigation No.55 of 2016, the prayer clauses 'A', 'B' and 'D' in this Public Interest Litigation stands satisfied.

4] So far as prayer clause 'D' is concerned, if the petitioner has grievance about the restriction placed on women devotee to offer prayers and worship the idol from the sanctum sanctorum of the temple, which is not within the territorial jurisdiction of this Bench, the petitioner may take appropriate remedy in that respect at appropriate bench of the High Court.

5] In the light of the above, this Public Interest Litigation stands disposed of.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.]

[S.S. SHINDE, J.]

kbp