

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE, BENCH AT AURANGABAD

Office Notes, Office
Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's
orders or directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

51 WRIT PETITION NO.1452 OF 2016

ADARSHA EDUCATION SOCIETY AURANGABAD
THROUGH ITS PRESIDENT MAZARKHAN ANWARKHAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr.Jaiswal
Sachin R.

AGP for Respondents:Mr.V.S. Badakh.
Advocate for R.Nos. 2 & 3: Mr.S.S. Tope
(Absent).

...

CORAM:S.S.SHINDE & SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.
Dated: APRIL 06, 2016

...

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner, learned AGP appearing for the State. It appears that, the Respondent No.2 – University recommended the proposals of the petitioner seeking permission to open Sr. College has been favourably recommended to the State Government. The said proposals were for the academic year, 2014-15 and 2015-16. In similar set of facts, the Division Bench of this Court in the case of

**Rajureshwar Shikshan Prasarak Mandal,
Takli Kolate, Tq. Phulambri vs The State
of Maharashtra and ors. (Writ Petition
No.12395 of 2015 with connected petitions)**
vide order dated 28th December, 2015,
directed the State Government to consider
the proposals submitted by the petitioners
therein for the academic year 2015-16 to
be the proposals submitted for the
academic year 2016-17 without asking the
petitioners to submit the fresh proposals
or fresh deposits, along with the other
proposals which may be received to the
respondents in pursuance of the
advertisement published in daily "Lokmat"
on 14.12.2015 by the respondents.

2 We adopt the same course and direct
the respondent / State Government to
consider the proposals submitted by the
petitioners and recommended by the
respondent No.2 for the academic year,
2014-15, 2015-16 to be the proposals
submitted for the academic year 2016-17
without asking the petitioners to submit
the fresh proposals or fresh deposits.

We make it clear that, the said
proposals are to be considered while
considering the other proposals received

by the State Government for the academic year, 2016-17.

In addition to communication of the order by the petitioners to respondent No.1, the learned AGP to communicate this order to the respondent No.1 through office of the Government Pleader, High Court of Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad. On above terms, the petition stands disposed of. Parties to act upon authenticated copy of this order.

(SANGITRAO S.PATIL, J) (S.S. SHINDE, J)