

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.997 OF 2016

The State of Maharashtra
and ors. ..Petitioners

versus

Gangaram Bhausaheb Bakale ..Respondent

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.986 OF 2016

The State of Maharashtra
and ors. ..Petitioners

versus

Mohd. Khurshid Ali s/o. Mohd.
Shaukat Ali ..Respondent

—

In both petitions :-

Mr.S.B.Yawalkar, AGP for petitioners – State

Mr.Sujeet D. Joshi, advocate for respondent

--

**CORAM : S.S. SHINDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : APRIL 05, 2016**

PER COURT :

Heard.

2] We have considered the reasons recorded by the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal in the impugned judgment and more particularly, in paragraph 23 thereof.

3] We are satisfied that the reasons/findings recorded by the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal are in consonance with the material placed on record. The view taken by the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal is a possible view. No interference is warranted in the impugned judgment.

4] Hence, both the petitions stand rejected.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.]

[S.S. SHINDE, J.]