

- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.667/2011

Shivcharan Muttana Shreemalwar.
...Petitioner..

Versus

The Chief General Manager,
Maharashtra State Power Generation
Company Ltd. & another.
...Respondents...

.....

Shri Sachin Deshmukh, Advocate for petitioner.
Shri V.C. Patil, Advocate h/f Shri S.M. Godsay, Advocate
for respondent no.1.

.....

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
K.L. WADANE, JJ.**

DATE: 20.08.2016

ORDER :

1] We have heard Shri Sachin Deshmukh, learned counsel for the petitioner. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the advertisement issued by the respondent no.1, the petitioner had filled in the application for the post of Junior Laboratory Chemist from the special backward category. The claim of the petitioner is also validated by the committee. The

- 2 -

petitioner secured 41 marks. The respondent no.2 had secured only 34 marks. Still the respondent no.2 was selected though having secured less marks. The petitioner ought to have been selected. According to the learned counsel, the selection process was not transparent.

2] We have heard the learned counsel for the respondent no.1.

3] The respondent no.2 is selected for the post reserved for women category. The petitioner certainly could not have competed with the respondent no.2 as the respondent no.2 has been selected for the post reserved for the women category. In view of the same, the case of the petitioner cannot be considered. Writ petition as such is dismissed. No costs.

(K.L. WADANE, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)