

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 943 OF 2016

Sadhu s/o Pandurang Gaikwad

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. G.L. Awale, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. P.S. Patil, AGP for the State.

=====

**CORAM : R.M. BORDE &
A.I.S. CHEEMA, JJ.
DATE : 27th JANUARY, 2016.**

PER COURT :

1. It would be open for the petitioner to avail of remedies available in law, more particularly, remedies provided under Rule 36(15) of the Maharashtra Agriculture Produce Market Committee (Development and Regulation) Rules, 1967, for redressal of his grievance. If petitioner tenders appropriate application within contemplation of provisions of rules as specified above, it would be obligatory on the part of the concerned authority to consider the application and pass appropriate order. No interference is called for in the petition at this stage. Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**(A.I.S. CHEEMA)
JUDGE**

**(R. M. BORDE)
JUDGE**