

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 36 OF 2016

Pawan s/o Girdharilal Purohit & Anr.

APPLICANTS

V E R S U S

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

RESPONDENTS

Mr. Swapnil S. Rathi, Advocate for the Applicants
Mrs. A.V. Gondhalekar, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1 - State

**CORAM : A.V. NIRGUDE &
INDIRA K. JAIN, JJ.**

DATE : 15th February, 2016

PER COURT :

1. Learned counsel for the Applicants states that the notice of Respondent No.2 is properly served and service-report is already filed before the Court. In view of this, there is service of notice on both respondents is complete.

2. Heard. Perused the complaint. The complaint is mainly about non-payment of price of goods sold by the accused. The dispute

appears to be exclusively of civil nature, and therefore, application deserves to be allowed. Criminal Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause 'c'.

(INDIRA K. JAIN, J.)

(A.V. NIRGUDE, J.)

srm/15/2/16