

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 64 OF 2012

Sushilkumar Lakhamichand Shah ... Applicant

VERSUS

Pandurang Chango Rane, Died
through LRS ... Respondents

None for applicant

Mr S. P. Brahme, Advocate for respondent No. 1-A to 1-D.

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 65 OF 2012

Pandurang Chango Rane, Died
through LRS ... Applicant

VERSUS

Sushilkumar Lakhamichand Shah ... Respondent

Mr S. P. Brahme, Advocate for applicant.

CORAM : **SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.**

DATE : **2ND DECEMBER, 2016.**

PER COURT:

1. While the matters are called out today, it will have to be adverted to that C.R.A. No. 64/2012 is not being prosecuted by the applicant as would appear from order dt. 07/10/2016 and order

dtd. 25/11/2016. On last occasion, the matter had been adjourned as it was thought expedient to give an opportunity to the applicant.

2. Despite aforesaid, while the matters are called out today, none appeared for the applicant in C.R.A. No. 64 of 2012. C.R.A. No. 64 of 2012 stands dismissed in default. Interim relief, if any, abates along with dismissal.

3. C.R.A. No. 65 of 2012 to proceed.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

sgp