NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 4341 of 2016

1. Radhe Shyam Sahu S/o Shri Tej Ram Sahu, Aged About 35 Years R/o Village Baghoud, P.S. And Tahsil Dabhara, Block Dabhara, District Janjgir Champa, (Chhattisgarh)

2. Chandrashekhar Rathia, S/o Shri Tej Ram Sahu, Aged About 38 Years R/o Village Baghoud, P.S. And Tahsil Dabhara, Block Dabhara, District Janjgir Champa, (Chhattisgarh)

3. Kartikeshwar Baghel, S/o Shri Resham Singh, Aged About 28 Years R/o Village Akoljamora, P.S. And Tahsil Dabhara, Block Dabhara, District Janjgir Champa, (Chhattisgarh)

4. Madan Lal Mahesh, S/o Shri Chunni Lal Mahesh, Aged About 22 Years R/o Village Akoljamora, P.S. And Tahsil Dabhara, Block Dabhara, District Janjgir Champa, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of School Education Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Police Station Mandir Hasaud, New Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)

2. Director, Lok Sikshan Sanchanalaya, Indrawati Bhawan New Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)

3. Collector, Janjgir Champa, District Janjgir Champa, (Chhattisgarh)

4. District Education Officer, Janjgir Champa, District Janjgir Champa, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners : Shri Tarun Dansena, Advocate
For State : Shri Gary Mukhopadhyay, Dy. Govt. Advocate

S.B.:Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

01/09/2016

Heard on admission.

1. The petitioners, who were appointed as Part Time Sweeper in the year 2011 at the then existing collector rate, have filed this petition for a direction that they

are entitled for grant of minimum wages as per minimum wage fixed vide Schedule-A (Annexure P-5).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a representation has also been moved by the petitioners for grant of minimum wages, however, the same are not being extended to the petitioners.

3. The order of appointment of the petitioners show that they were appointed as Part Time Sweeper in the year 2011 on wages applicable in case of Part Time Sweeper, on collector rates. Therefore, if the collector wages have been revised, the case of the petitioners is also required to be considered for grant of appropriate and existing minimum wages prescribed by the Collector. Accordingly, the petitioners' case should also be considered and appropriate orders in their case should be passed by the respondent No.4 for grant of appropriate wages, according to current rate of wages applicable to the category of employment, in which the petitioners are employed.

4. With the aforesaid observations, the petition is finally disposed off.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge

Praveen