

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 4322 of 2016**

Vishal Sone S/o Late Shri S. P. Sone, Aged About 41 Years Presently Posted And Working As Inspector, Police Line, Raigarh, Distt. Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh) R/o 80/5 Nehru Nagar, East Bhilai, District Durg, (Chhattisgarh)

---- **Petitioner****Versus**

1. Union Of India Through The Secretary, Ministry Of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pensions (Department Of Personnel & Training), 3rd Floor, Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market, New Delhi 110003
2. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of General Administration, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
3. State Of Madhya Pradesh, Through The Secretary, Department Of General Administration, Vallabh Bhawan, Mantralaya, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh)
4. Director General Of Police, Chhattisgarh, Police Headquarters, Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)

---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner	: Mr. Vivek Shrivastava, Advocate
For Union of India	: Mr. Ramakant Pandey, Advocate
For State of C.G.	: Mr. Gary Mukhopadhyay, Dy. Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Manindra Mohan Shrivastava, J.**Order on Board****01/09/2016**

Heard.

1. The grievance of the petitioner is that even though petitioner's application seeking reallocation of the State of Madhya Pradesh was filed long back, it has remained pending without any decision.

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that Central Government vide memo dated 23.6.2016 has sought information and document in respect of the petitioner, which shows that two State Governments have not provided necessary information to the Central Government. It is next submitted that the respondents may be directed to finalize the petitioner's allocation.

3. Prima facie, from memo dated 23.6.2016 (Annexure P/5), it is apparent that the Government of India is seized of the matter regarding prayer of the petitioner for allocation from successor State of Chhattisgarh to successor State of Madhya Pradesh. It shows that many information are not available with the Central Government, without which the case of the petitioner could not be decided till date.

4. In this view of the matter, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending before the Court. It is rather expedient in the interest of justice to direct that the petitioner's application be expedited and decision may be taken at the earliest.

5. The matter has remained pending for the last 16 years. The State of Chattisgarh and State of Madhya Pradesh both are directed to provide necessary information to the Central Government as required vide memo dated 23.6.2016 at the earliest. Thereafter, the Central Government may take final decision in the matter.

6. It is expected that both the State Governments i.e. State of Chhattisgarh as well as State of Madhya Pradesh shall provide necessary information to the Central Government within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. Thereafter, within a period of twelve weeks, Central Government shall take final decision on the application of the petitioner. It is

hoped that long pending application of 16 years would be brought to an end within the time stipulated above.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the petition stands finally disposed off.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge