

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No. 1868 of 2016**

- Disha Institute Of Management & Technology (Owned And Managed By Disha Education Society) Satya Vihar, Vidhansabha, Chandrakhuri Road, (Mandir Hasaud) Village Pacheda, Tehsil Arang, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh) 492101. Represented Through Its Authorized Signatory Samir Sharma, (Dy. Registrar In The Petitioner Institute), S/o Shri M. L. Sharma, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Satya Vihar, Vidhansabha, Chandrakhuri Road, (Mandir Hasaud) Village Pacheda, Tehsil Arang, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh) 492101

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Technical Education, Manpower Employment, Science & Technology Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, 492002 (Chhattisgarh)
2. Chhattisgarh Swami Vivekanand Technical University, Through Its Registrar, North Park Avenue, Sector 8, Bhilai, Distt Durg (Chhattisgarh) 490009
3. All India Council For Technical Education. Through Its Chairman, 7th Floor, Chandralok Building, Janpath, New Delhi 110001
4. Regional Officer, All India Council For Technical Education, Central Regional Office, Tagore Hostel No.2, Shamlai Hills, Bhopal 462002 (Madhya Pradesh)

---- Respondent

For Petitioner : Shri Kshitij Sharma, Advocate.
 For Respondent/State : Shri Ramakant Mishra, Deputy AG.
 For Respondent No.2 : Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, Advocate.
 For Respondents 3 & 4 : Shri Sandeep Dubey, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**Order On Board****11/08/2016**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that for the present, the writ petition may be disposed of with a direction to the respondent-Chhattisgarh Swami Vivekanand Technical University (for short 'the University') to decide the petitioner's representation dated 1.7.2016.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent/University would submit that if the petitioner has made any such representation, the University shall decide the same within a period of 15 days from today, if not already decided.
3. In view of the above, nothing survives for proceeding further in the matter at this stage. If the petitioner is aggrieved after the decision taken by the University on his representation, he would be at liberty to move afresh before this Court.

Sd/-
Judge
(Prashant Kumar Mishra)

Barve