

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.234 of 2015**

1. Smt. Tikeshwari Verma W/o Hanuman Verma Aged About 55 Years Working As Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Ratnabandha, Block And District- Dhamtari, C.G.
2. Pankaj Rawate S/o Shri Narendra Rawate Aged About 47 Years Working As Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School No.1, Block And District - Dhamtari, C.G.
3. Yadunandan Singh Verma S/o Uday Singh Verma Aged About 47 Years Working As Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Rudri, Block And District- Dhamtari, C.G.
4. Komal Prasad Sahu S/o Shri Ramdayal Sahu Aged About 56 Years Working As Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Bhothali, Block Dhamtari, District- Dhamtari, C.G.
5. Sunil Kumar Sao S/o Shri Sohanlal Sao Aged About 54 Years Working As Upper Division Teacher, Govt. Middle School, Bhothli, Block And District- Dhamtari, C.G.
6. Nandkumar Nirmal S/o Shri Chhabilal Nirmal Aged About 61 Years Working As Headmaster, Govt. Primary School, Piperchhedi, Block And District- Dhamtari, C.G.

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through, The Secretary, Education Department, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District- Raipur, C.G.
2. District Education Officer Dhamtari, District- Dhamtari, C.G.

--- Respondents

 For Petitioners : Mr.Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate
 For Respondents : Ms Ashtha Shukla, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order on Board****24/10/2016**

1. Learned counsel for the parties jointly submit that the case of the petitioners is required to be examined on verification of facts and by applying the legal position as adumbrated in order dated 6.12.2013 passed in WPS No.3793 of 2013 (Ramsingh

Mali Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.) and batch of petition. It is also brought to the notice of this Court that SLP filed by the State has also been dismissed by the Supreme Court.

2. In view of above, the respondents are directed to accord and extend the same benefit to the petitioners as is granted to the petitioners in the aforementioned case subject to verification of facts stated in the petition.

3. The writ petition is finally disposed off.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K Agrawal)
Judge

B/-