

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 6829 of 2016**

1. Kaushal Singh Rajput S/o Shri Jagdish Singh Rajput, Aged About 40 Years Working As Teacher (Municipal), Government Naveen Pre- Middle School, Gandai, District Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)
2. Smt. Sunita Markam W/o Shri Dashrath Markam, Aged About 37 Years Posted As Teacher (Municipal), Government Naveen Pre- Middle School, Gandai, District Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Urban Area And Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Panchayat, Gandai, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh.
3. The President- In- Council, Nagar Panchayat, Gandai, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri A.C. Sahu, Advocate on behalf of Shri Goutam Khetrapal, Advocate
For Respondent 1/State	:	Shri Dhiraj Wankhede, GA on advance copy
Respondents 2 & 3 not noticed.		

Hon'ble Shri Justice Chandra Bhushan Bajpai**Order On Board****19/12/2016**

1. Heard.
2. Issue notice.
3. Learned counsel accepts notice for respondent 1, with this, petitioners are not required to pay separate PF for respondent 1.
4. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the matter may be disposed of even by not issuing notice to respondents 2 and 3 as the petitioners intend to make representation before respondents 2 and 3

regarding their grievance within 15 days from today, hence, directions may be issued to respondents 2 and 3 to consider and decide the same as expeditiously as possible.

5. The prayer of the petitioners appears to be fair and reasonable.

6. Consequently, the instant Writ Petition is disposed of without any appreciation on its merits. The petitioners are permitted to make representation before respondents 2 and 3 within 15 days from today. Respondents 2 and 3 shall consider and decide the same on its merits in accordance with law preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the representation of the petitioners along with a copy of this order.

7. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities would be at liberty to decide the same in accordance with law.

8. With the aforesaid observation, the Writ Petition stands finally disposed of.

9. No order as to cost.

Sd/-

(Chandra Bhushan Bajpai)
Judge