

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**  
**WP (S) No.6222 of 2016**

M. Rambabu S/o M. Rajarao Aged About 44 Years R/o Darri Para Naila, Ward No. 2, Naila Police And Revenue, District Janjgir-Champa, District- Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Ththrough:- Collector, Janjgir-Champa, Police and Revenue District- Janjgir-Champa, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
2. Chhattisgarh State Power Generation Company Limited Danganiya, Raipur, Through Chief Engineer, Civil/Project/Human Resources, Chhattisgarh State Power Generation Company Limited, Danganiya, Raipur, Police Station Raipur, Police and Revenue District Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Engineer, Civil/Project/Human Resources, Chhattisgarh State Power Generation Company Limited, Danganiya, Raipur, Police Station Raipur, Police and Revenue District Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Additional Chief Engineer, (Civil/Project) Korba West, Korba, Chhattisgarh State Power Generation Company Limited, Police Station Korba, Police and Revenue District Korba, District Korba, Chhattisgarh
5. Chief Engineer, ( Generation), 2x500 Mega Watt, Madwa Tendubhata Tap Vidyut Pariyojna, Village Tendubhata, Post Sarkhon, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
6. Sub-Divisional Officer (Revenue) Janjgir Police and Revenue District Janjgir-Champa, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

---

|                |   |                               |
|----------------|---|-------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Mr.Alok Pandey, Advocate      |
| For Sate       | : | Mr.Gary Mukhopadhyay, Dy.G.A. |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**

**Order on Board**

**15/11/2016**

1. Limited grievance of the petitioner as ventilated through this petition is that despite existence of State Model Rehabilitation Policy, 2007 (Annexure P/2), providing for grant of employment in addition to payment of compensation upon acquisition of land, petitioner's claim

has remained pending with the respondents.

2. After going through the contents made by the counsel for the petitioner in the petition and number of documents which have been placed on record, I find that the petitioner's claim is pending at various level but no final decision has been taken in the matter.

3. Prima facie there exists policy of rehabilitation (Annexure P/2) wherein there is a provision for grant of employment subject to fulfillment of eligibility and terms of conditions enumerated therein. Therefore, the claim of the petitioner does not appear to be frivolous and requires consideration. Accordingly, the respondents are directed to expedite consideration and take appropriate decision with regard to the petitioner's claim for employment under the rehabilitation policy as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case.

4. In view of above, the petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

**(Sanjay K. Agrawal)**  
**JUDGE**

B/-