

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.6118 of 2016**

1. Devendra Markandey S/o Shri Bhagat Ram Markandey Aged About 38 Years (In Central Jail Durg), R/o Katulboard, Ward No. 59, Durg, Police Station Mohan Nagar, Tahsil And District Durg, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Home Secretariat, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, P.S. And Post Rakhi, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director General Of Police (DGP), Police Headquarter, Near Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, P.S. And Post Rakhi, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Inspector General Of Police (IGP), Office Of Inspector General Of Police, 32 Bunglow, Bhilai, Police Station Bhilai Nagar, District Durg, Chhattisgarh
4. Superintendent Of Police (S.P.), Office Of Superintendent Of Police, Near Collectorate Office, District Durg, Chhattisgarh
5. Shri Narendra Sharma, City Superintendent Of Police/inquiry Officer, Chhavni, Police Station Chhawani, District Durg, Chhattisgarh
6. B.R. Sahu, Inspector/ Presenting Officer, Police Line Durg, District Durg, Chhattisgarh

--- Respondents

For Petitioner	: Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocate
For State	: Shri Prasun Bhaduri, Dy.G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order On Board

23/11/2016**(1)** Heard.**(2)** Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the

petitioner is facing departmental enquiry for which, he has made several representations before the respondent authorities for appointment of defence assistant, which has not been considered and decided by the respondent authorities and, therefore, direction may be issued to decide the same expeditiously.

(3) Prayer appears to be fair and reasonable.

(4) Be that as it may, respondent No.4 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Durg is directed to consider and decide the application of the petitioner for appointment of defence assistant in the light of provision contained in Rule 14(8) of C.G.Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1966 expeditiously from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order

(5) It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities may decide the same on its own merits.

(6) With this direction, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-