

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 2519 OF 2016

Jagriti Mahila Swa-Sahayata Samuh, Devsundra Block Palari,
District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh Through Its
Secretary Deepapal W/o Jeewan Pal Aged About 42 Years, R/o
Village Devsundra, Block Palari, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara
Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Vs

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Women And Child
Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New
Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Director, (Women And Child Development, Department)
Indrawati Bhavan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District Raipur
Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector, (Women And Child Development Branch) Baloda
Bazar- Bhatapara Chhattisgarh
4. The Project Officer, Integrated Child Development Project,
Palari, Block Palari, District Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara
Chhattisgarh
5. District Programme Officer, (Women And Child Development,
Department) Baloda Bazar, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara
Chhattisgarh
6. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat Baloda Bazar, District
Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh
7. Safura Mata Swa Sahayata Samuh Lutudih, Block Palari, Distirct
Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh Through Its President
Ambrelala Kurrey, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Village Lutudih,
Block Palari Distirct Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh

Respondent

For Petitioners	Mr. P.K. Patel, Advocate
For Respondent /State	Mr. UNS Deo, Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra
Order On Board

5/10/2016

1. It is argued by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that vide agreement dated 16-12-2015 the petitioner was made entitled to supply ready to eat food material in Sector Jara, Block Palari for a period of 5 years and the said agreement is still subsisting, yet the impugned order has been passed by the Collector (Women & Child Development Department) Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara, granting such right to the respondent No.7 Self Help Group. Learned counsel would submit that till the petitioner's agreement is subsisting, the impugned order amounts to cancellation of its agreement without affording any opportunity of hearing.
2. Considering the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction that the petitioner shall make a representation before the concerned Collector bringing into his notice the above aspect of the matter and any other ground which may be available to it within a period of one month from today. The concerned Collector shall thereafter constitute a committee as required under clause 11 of the agreement and take decision in the matter within a further period of three months, after providing opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.
3. It is made clear that till the matter is decided by the Collector, the petitioner shall continue to supply ready to eat food material to 24 Aanganbadi Centers in accordance with the agreement (Annexure – P/2).

Sd/-

Judge
(Prashant Kumar Mishra)