

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**REVP No. 123 of 2016**

M/s Sarguja Rail Coridor Private Limited Adani Group, Ketka Road,
Behind Shyam Mandir, Surajpur, Revenue And Civil District- Surajpur,
(Chhattisgarh),(Respondent No. 8).

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Abdul Rashid S/o. Haji Abdul Siddique, Aged About 43 Years R/o-
Birra Road, Champa, P.O. & P.S.- Champa, Revenue & Civil District-
Janjgir- Champa, (Chhattisgarh),(Petitioner).
2. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of
Industries / Revenue, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Revenue And
Civil District- Raipur, (Chhattisgarh).
3. Collector, Sarguja, Revenue And Civil District- Sarguja,
(Chhattisgarh).
4. Collector, Surajpur, Revenue And Civil District- Surajpur,
(Chhattisgarh).
5. Land Acquisition Officer, Thermal Power Project, Premnagar /
Bhaiyathan, Head Office Ambikapur, Revenue And Civil District-
Sarguja, (Chhattisgarh).
6. SDO (Revenue), Surajpur, Revenue And Civil District- Surajpur,
(Chhattisgarh).
7. Tehsildar, Surajpur, Revenue And Civil District- Surajpur,
(Chhattisgarh).
8. Executive Engineer (Civil), C.S.P.G.C.L., Bishrampur, Revenue And
Civil District- Surajpur, (Chhattisgarh),.....(Respondents No. 1 To 7)

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	: Dr. N.K. Shukla, Senior Advocate with Smt. A. Sen Gupta, Advocate.
For Respondent No. 1.	: Mr. Sarfaraj Khan, Advocate.
For Respondents No. 2 to 8	: Mr. Garry Mukhopadhyaya, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****02/12/2016**

Heard.

(1) Learned Senior counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that this Court in its order under review dated 12.01.2016 passed in W.P. (S) No.60/2016 has issued direction for grant of employment to the original writ petitioner.

(2) I have heard learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner and perused the order impugned with utmost circumspection.

(3) A careful perusal of the order under review would show that the Court has simply directed the respondents authorities to expedite the process of rehabilitation of the petitioner in accordance with the existing Rehabilitation Policy of the State Government, this Court has only issued direction for consideration of petitioner's case in accordance with law.

(4) Accordingly, the review petition stands finally disposed of. However, the petitioner is at liberty to proceed in accordance with law.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)

Judge

D/-