

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

CONT No. 164 of 2016

1. J.L. Choudhary S/o Late Shri Baiyalal Choudhary, Aged About 62 Years Occupation Subedar (M) (Contract) Working Under The Office Of 1st B.N.C.A.F. Bhilai, P.S.- Bhilai, District Durg, Chhattisgarh
2. J.K. Tembhurkar S/o Late Shri P.C. Tembhurkar, Aged About 53 Years Occupation Subedar (M) Working Under The Office Of 8th B.N. (I.R.) C.A.F. Rajnandgaon, P.S. Kotwali Dist. Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
3. Smt. Usha Verma W/o Late Shri M.R. Verma, Aged About 57 Years Occupation Subedar (M) Working Under The Office Of M.T. Workshop Range, P.S.- Civil Line District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. P. Bhattacharya S/o Shri Shukumar Bhattacharya, Aged About 49 Years Occupation Sub Inspector (M) Working Under The Office Of 1st B.N.C.A.F. Bhilai, P.S.- Bhilai, District Durg, Chhattisgarh
5. Krishnaraj Singh Chouhan S/o Late Shri Heera Singh Chouhan, Aged About 46 Years Occupation Sub Inspector (M) Working Under The Office Of 7th B.N.C.A.F. Bhilai, P.S.- Bhilai, District Durg, Chhattisgarh
6. K.L.Verma S/o Shri R.N. Verma Aged About 57 Years Occupation Subedar (M) Working Under The Office Of M.T. Workshop Range, P.S.- Civil Line District Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. Shri B. Vhi. Subramaniam Secretary, Police Affairs Home And Finance, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Mantralay, Dist. Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Amarnath Upadhyay, Director General Of Police Office Of Police Head Quarter Raipur, Dist. Raipur, Chhattisgarh(Contemnors)

---- Respondent

For Petitioners
For Respondents

Shri Badruddin Khan, Advocate
Shri Avinash Singh, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

21/09/2016

1. Petitioners' writ petition bearing WPS No.1903 of 2015 was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 14-5-2015 in terms of the order passed in WPS No.6698 of 2014 and the respondents were directed to decide the petitioners' case in terms of the said order.
2. The document Annexure – R/1 submitted by the contemnors would indicate that the petitioners' case has been favourably considered and necessary orders have been passed vide Annexure – R/3.
3. It is argued by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners that despite the order Annexure – R/3, actual payment or fixation have not been made, therefore, the contempt still continues.
4. In the considered opinion of this Court, the respondents have complied with the order passed by this Court by passing the order Annexure – R/3, therefore, nothing survives for taking any further action in the matter.
5. Accordingly, the contempt petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Judge
Prashant Kumar Mishra

Gowri