

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Appeal No. 697 of 2015**

1. The State of Chhattisgarh Through the Principal to the Department of Higher Education, Mahanadi, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Post Office and Police Station Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Commissioner, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh Indravati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Raipur District Chhattisgarh
3. The Principal, Mahatma Gandhi Govt. Arts & Science College, Kharsiya, Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Appellants**Versus**

Dr. Puran Lal Patel S/o Shri Sadhuran Patel, Aged About 49 Years Occupation Service Assistant Professor In Political Science, Mahatma Gandhi Govt. Arts & Science College, Kharsiya, Raigarh Chhattisgarh Civil & Revenue District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent**And****Writ Appeal No. 706 of 2015**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Principal to the Department Of Higher Education Mahanadi Mantralaya, Naya Raipur Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. The Commissioner, Department Of Higher Education, Government Of Chhattisgarh, Indravati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. The Principal, Govt. E.V. Post Graduate College, District Korba Chhattisgarh.

---- Appellants**Versus**

Dr. Basant Kumar (B.K.) Patel S/o Shri Arjun Singh Patel, Aged About 56 Years Occupation Service Assistant Professor In Commerces Govt. E.V. Post Graduate College Korba Chhattisgarh Civil & Revenue District Korba Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Appellants/State	:	Shri Prafull N. Bharat, Additional Advocate General.
For Respondents	:	Shri D.R. Patel, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Navin Sinha, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri P. Sam Koshy, J.

Order on Board

Per Navin Sinha, Chief Justice

11/01/2016

1. These two appeals arise from a common order dated 22.9.2015 allowing Writ Petition (S) No.785 of 2015 and analogous writ petitions.
2. Separate Interlocutory Applications have been filed to condone delay of 45 days in preferring the appeals. Considering the contentions and counter contentions on behalf of the State and appearing Respondents, and the duration, delay is condoned. The interlocutory applications are allowed.
3. After some arguments, Learned Counsel for the parties are agreed that both these appeals may be disposed in terms of common order dated 6.1.2016 in Writ Appeal No.693 of 2015 and analogous appeals granting liberty to withdraw the appeals for preferring a review application.
4. The appeals are disposed in similar terms.

Sd/-
(Navin Sinha)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE