

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 583 of 2016

Sarthak Mahila Swa-Sahayata Samuh Through The Power Of Attorney Holder
Ashok Agrawal, Aged About 50 Years, S/o Late Shiv Kumar Agrawal, R/o
Goverdhan Chowk, Kushalpur Lakhe Nagar Ward, Raipur, District Raipur
(Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Department Of Urban
Administration & Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur
District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Municipal Corporation Raipur, Through The Commissioner, Raipur, District
Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondent

For Petitioner	:	Shri Jitendra Pali, Advocate
For Respondent No.1/State	:	Shri Satish Gupta, Govt. Advocate
For Respondent No.2	:	Shri Sandeep Singh, Advocate

S.B.:Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

02/03/2016

Heard.

1. Grievance of the petitioner is that even though under the agreement, the
petitioner carried out the work and the petitioner-group is entitled to payment of
Rs.6,58,036/- without any dispute, the amount has remained arbitrarily
withheld.
2. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the petitioner's claim shall
be considered and decided by respondent No.2.
3. In view of the aforesaid submission, the petition, at this stage, is disposed off

with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide petitioner's claim by speaking order within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

4. Whatever amount is found due and payable to the petitioner shall be paid forthwith. Reasons for non-payment of the amount claimed or part of the amount claimed shall be clearly spelt out in the order deciding petitioner's representation. Thereafter, if the grievance is not redressed/partly not redressed, the petitioner shall be at liberty to take recourse to such remedy as may be available to it under the law.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge

Praveen