

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.1026 of 2016**

1. Satyanarayan Yadav, S/o Late Shri P. R. Yadav, aged about 57 years, Occupation Sub-Inspector (M), working under the Office of 10th B. N. C. A. F. Silfee Lee, District Surajpur (Chhattisgarh).
2. Sanjay Singh, S/o Late Shri Basant Singh, aged about 52 Years Occupation Sub-Inspector (M), working under the Office of Deputy Superintendent (M.T. Workshop) Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh).

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through its Secretary, Department of Home and Police Affairs, Secretariat, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, P. S. and Post Rakhi, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Director General of Police, Police Head Quarter, Civil Line, P. S. Civil Line, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. I. G. C A F, Police Head Quarter, P. S. Civil Line District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. Joint Director of Division (Funds Account and Pension) Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh).
5. The Secretary, Finance Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya Bhawan, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
6. The Director (Treasury, Audit and Accounts) Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
7. Commandant of 10th B. N. C. A. F., Silfee Lee, District Surajpur (Chhattisgarh).
8. The Deputy Superintendent, (M. T. Workshop) Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh).

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Badruddin Khan, Advocate
 For Respondents : Shri Dilman Rati Minj, Dy.G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board**

06/04/2016

(1) Heard.

(2) At the outset, learned counsel for the parties would fairly submit that the issue involved in the present writ petition has already been decided by this Court in WP(S) No. 6698/2014 (Rammani Tripathi Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others) on 24.12.2014, filed as Annexure P/4 and the present writ petition may also be disposed of in similar terms.

(3) Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order passed in WP(S) No. 6698/2014. However, it is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondents would be at liberty to decide the representation strictly in accordance with law.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-